

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,244	03/25/2004	Pankaj Gupta	NLMLP162	6712
305S4 7590 94/13/2009 SHEMWELL MAHAMEDI LLP 4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			LOVEL, KIMBERLY M	
SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA 95129-1034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/809 244 GUPTA ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner KIMBERLY LOVEL 2167 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 8-16 and 25-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-16 and 25-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 8-16 and 25-28 are rejected.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 January 2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 8, 13 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is suggested that the parenthesis around "N," "T" and "N/T" be removed in each of the claims. Currently it is unclear whether or not these items are part of the claimed limitations.

Also, after the recitation of the limitation "(i) at least a minimum number (N/T) of sub-databases of the forwarding database," it is suggested that the variables N and T be defined. The specification defines N as the total number of prefix entries in the forwarding database and T as the maximum number of prefix entries allowed in each sub-database.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the total number of sub-databases" in line

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2167

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 337, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 8, 9 and 25 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 12 of U.S.

Patent No. 7,426,518. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are
not patentably distinct from each other because the forwarding database formed
in both the present application and the patent claim the same structure. The
patent states the limitation of "forming a first set of pointers wherein each of the
first set of pointers points to a respective one of the sub-databases" while the
present application states "a hierarchical tree structure." It is well-known to one

Art Unit: 2167

of ordinary skill in the art that a hierarchical tree structure inherently includes pointers to each level of the tree. Also, the present application includes limitations relating to updating the tree. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to update the forwarding database of the patent in order to maintain current information. Claims 9-16 and 26-28 are rejected for the same reasons as stated above.

Present Application 10/809,244	US Patent 7,426,518
8. A method for updating a forwarding	A method of forming a forwarding
database that includes a number (N) of	database for routing packets of data in
prefixes, the method comprising:	a communication network, the method
	comprising:
forming a hierarchical tree structure	splitting N number of prefixes within
having root, branch and leaf nodes that	the database, based exclusively on
define (i) at least a minimum number	values of one or more unmasked bits
(N/T) of sub-databases of the	within the prefixes, into a number of
forwarding database and (ii) respective	sub-databases bounded proportional
bit combinations associated with the	to N and inversely proportional to T,
sub-databases, wherein each prefix of	and wherein each sub-database has
the N prefixes is stored within one of	no more than T number of prefixes,
the sub-databases having an	with T being a predetermined value
associated bit combination that	less than N, and at least one of the
matches corresponding bits within the	sub-databases having more than one

Art Unit: 2167

prefix, and wherein each of the subdatabases has no more than a predetermined number (T) of prefixes and stores prefixes that are not stored in any of the other sub-databases;

prefix; and

forming a first set of pointers wherein each of the first set of pointers points to a respective one of the subdatabases.

modifying the hierarchical tree structure operations; and updating one or more of the subdatabases to reflect modifications databases correspond to only those portions of the hierarchical tree affected by the update operations.

in accordance with one or more update made to the hierarchical tree structure, wherein the one or more updated sub-

> 2. The method as recited in claim 1. wherein said splitting comprises, beginning with the most significant bit

9. The method of claim 8, wherein said forming comprises, beginning with a most significant bit of the N number of

sub-databases, wherein each prefix of

Art Unit: 2167

prefixes, repeatedly splitting the N of the N number of prefixes, number of prefixes into a plurality of repeatedly splitting the N number of nodes extending between and prefixes to form a tree extending including a root node and a plurality of between a root node and a plurality of leaf nodes, and wherein each of the leaf nodes, wherein each leaf node leaf nodes corresponds to one of the has no more than T number of sub-databases. prefixes. A computer-readable storage 12. A computer-readable storage medium having recorded therein one or medium bearing instructions which, more sequences of instructions which. when executed by a processing entity. when executed by a processor, cause causes the processing entity to: the processor to update a forwarding database having a number (N) of prefixes, including causing the processor to: form a hierarchical tree structure split N number of prefixes within the having root, branch and leaf nodes that database, based exclusively on values define (i) at least a minimum number of one or more unmasked bits within (N/T) of sub-databases of the the prefixes, into a number of subforwarding database and (ii) respective databases bounded proportional to N bit combinations associated with the and inversely proportional to T, and wherein each sub-database has no

Application/Control Number: 10/809,244
Art Unit: 2167

the N prefixes is stored within one of sub-databases having an associated bit combination that matches corresponding bits within the prefix, and wherein each of the sub-databases has no more than a predetermined number (T) of prefixes and stores prefixes that are not stored in any of the other sub-databases;

more than T number of prefixes, with T being a predetermined value less than N, and at least one of the subdatabases having more than one prefix; and

modify the hierarchical tree structure in accordance with one or more update operations; and update one or more of the subdatabases to reflect modifications made to the hierarchical tree structure, wherein the one or more updated subdatabases correspond to only those portions of the hierarchical tree

form a first set of pointers wherein each of the first set of pointers points to a respective one of the subdatabases.

Art Unit: 2167

affected by the update operations.	

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 8-16 and 25-28 contain allowable subject matter. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In the Examiner's Final Office Action dated 10 November 2008, claims 8-11 and 25-28 were rejected under 35 USC 103 based primarily on US Patent No 6,018,524 to Turner et al and US Patent No 7,162,481 to Richardson et al and claims 12-16 were rejected under 35 USC 103 based primarily on US Patent No 6,018,524 to Turner et al; US Patent No 7,162,481 to Richardson et al; and US Patent No 6.735,600 to Andreev et al.

The claimed invention is directed towards the updating of a forwarding database, wherein the database is represented by a tree that contains sub-databases which store prefixes. The prefixes are stored only in one sub-database and each sub-database stores no more than a maximum number of prefixes.

The prior art of record, US Patent No 6,018,524 to Turner et al; US Patent No 7,162,481 to Richardson et al; and US Patent No 6,735,600 to Andreev et al, do not show, teach or suggest the combined features of, forming a hierarchical tree structure having root, branch and leaf nodes that define (i) at least a minimum number (N/T) of sub-databases of the forwarding database and (ii) respective bit combinations associated with the sub-databases, wherein

Art Unit: 2167

each prefix of the N prefixes is stored within one of the sub-databases having an associated bit combination that matches corresponding bits within the prefix, and wherein each of the sub-databases has no more than a predetermined number (T) of prefixes and stores prefixes that are not stored in any of the other sub-databases; and updating one or more of the sub-databases, wherein the one or more updated sub-databases correspond to only those portions of the hierarchical tree affected by the update operations in combination with the other claimed features. Turner et al discloses the concepts of forming a hierarchical tree containing prefixes and updating the sub-databases. Turner et al fails to explicitly disclose the concept of the tree containing a minimum number of sub-databases wherein the minimum number is calculated by dividing the total number of prefixes in the database by the maximum number of prefixes allowed in each of the sub-databases. Richardson et al discloses the concept of storing prefixes in a plurality of subdatabases. Unlike Applicant's claimed invention where the prefixes are stored only in one sub-database, each prefix is divided in 4 bit segments and each segment is stored in a separate sub-database.

An updated search for prior art on the EAST database and on domains (NPL- ACM, Google, IEEE) has been conducted. The prior art searched and investigated in the database and domains does not fairly teach or suggest the teaching of the claimed subject matter as described above and reflected by the combined elements in independent claims 8 and 25. Dependent claims 9-16 and 26-28 depending directly upon claims 1 and 25 are also distinct from the prior art

Art Unit: 2167

for the same reasons.

 As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 2167

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMBERLY LOVEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2750. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John R. Cottingham/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2167 Kimberly Lovel Examiner Art Unit 2167

8 April 2009 /KL/ Application/Control Number: 10/809,244 Page 12

Art Unit: 2167